

1      Eugene Terrell  
2      439 Douglas Avenue  
2      Oakland, Ca. 94603

## E-filing

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**RICHARD W. WIEKING**  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND

CO8-01273

Case No.:

11 Plaintiff, )

**MOTION AND NOTICE FOR  
MANDATORY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**Demand - \$66,000**

12 )

FCRP 56, Calif.Code.Civ.Proc.  
Section 525 - 534

3 )

42 U.S.C. 1983, 29 U.S.C. 2801,  
US Constitutional 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment  
Rights

15 | State of California Office of the Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr. )

California CIVIL CODE SECTION -  
52.1. (a) and (b)

16 | **Office of the District Attorney;** )  
17 | **1225 Fallon Street, Room 900,** )  
| **Oakland, CA 94612** )

JURISDICTION; TITLE 28 PART IV  
CHAPTER 89 Section 1443 (1) (2)

**Office of the United States Attorney,  
Attorney General Michael Mukasey;**

VENUE; TITLE 28 PART IV CHAPTER  
87 Section 1391 (b) (1), (e) (1)

20 **Office of the United States Attorney,**  
1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S,  
21 **Oakland, CA 94612**

**Dated this 28<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008**

## 23 | Defendants

~~Attorney - In Pro Per; Eugene Terrell  
439 Douglas Avenue  
Oakland, Ca. 94603  
Ph: 510-636-9885~~

1 Notice is hereby given to all parties that on or before 31<sup>st</sup> of  
2 March 2008, or as soon as the Motion for MANDATORY INJUNCTIVE  
3 RELIEF can heard, {brought before the Justices of the US District  
4 Court for the Northern District of California} in which the  
5 Plaintiff seeks an Order for relief.

6  
7 Note; It is behooving to mention to all Parties and the Court;

8  
9 1) I established my company in 1994. And while I have not  
10 generated any income, I do have a product line.

11 2) Modified / Changed / Removed "WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS  
12 CODE SECTION 11320.31 (i) Self-Employment"

13 3) During the 2005 Case, the County Representative  
14 presented his version, re-writing WELFARE AND  
15 INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION 11323.2. (a) (3) - And this  
16 was allowable by the Administrative Law Judge - In  
17 fact, they had a covert communications going on  
18 throughout the hearing.

19  
20 4) And more importantly, I have been on Welfare, at  
21 least, since 1998 - and I applied for employment with  
22 PIC (Oakland Private Industry Council), as a Computer  
23 Applications Specialists - however, they never sent a  
24 courteous response to my resume.

25

## INTRODUCTION

I, Eugene Terrell, the Plaintiff and Welfare Recipient, do hereby Motion the Court for an Order of MANDATORY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF. In other words, the Plaintiff seeks a declaration in judgment against the Defendants, as this is a Case involving Racial Discrimination, acts committed in furtherance of a conspiracy to Deny the enjoyment of Equal Rights, and the Rights of the Services, defined by Title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2801).

Nevertheless, it should be quite clear, I am a Research Scientist, and the Owner of a Business: ETT-R&D PUBLICATIONS. The functions of my Business includes; Publication of Text Books, Publication of Articles, and the Development of Derivative Products. And this truly means, as a Research Scientist, my Research involves the Theory of Mathematics, and whole of the Natural Science. And more importantly, as Principle Investigator and Discoverer, I maintain the Monopoly on the All the Products and Services I am selling.

**PLEASE TAKE NOTE:**

I am the Author of the Discovery of a NEW and Alternate  
Mathematical Field; 'The Mathematics of Quantification', which

1 defines the Mathematics of the Binary System, and other, related  
2 Mathematical Discoveries that were previously unknown, for  
3 Thousands of years, by the Mathematical Community of the entire  
4 World. And this is to say, the Mathematicians, all over the  
5 world, never understood the operations of Multiplication, or  
6 Division as being the respective derivatives of Addition,  
7 Subtraction. They never understood HOW to COUNT! Or the Reality  
8 of Arithmetic, that ALL Counting is Addition! And this, it  
9 should be understood, says nothing about the Proof I submitted,  
10 which proved that the Laws of Motion, written by Sir Isaac  
11 Newton, are WRONG! In fact, his laws are so important; they  
12 impart an un-measurable value to the Education, Research, and  
13 overall Understanding of whole of the Natural Sciences, which  
14 includes the areas pertaining to the Biological Life Sciences,  
15 Astronomy, and nearly every aspect of Industrial Nuclear  
16 Science. However, this does not accurately define the  
17 profoundness of the impact of the discovery of an Alternate  
18 Mathematical Field. In other words, it eradicates of the entire  
19 Field of Calculus, because it not only redefines the  
20 representation of a Number, but it also redefines the  
21 representation for a Variable too. And yet, because of my Race,  
22 my accomplishments, which would garner an Award of the Nobel  
23 Prize in Physics for any Whiteman, can never be celebrated, at  
24 least, not by the people of the United States.

1 And more importantly, it should be clear, a favorable decision  
2 would allow me to garner the assistance I need to become Self-  
3 Sufficient, and demonstrate to the People of this country, and  
4 the world, that the unconscionable acts involving Racial  
5 Discrimination will no longer be tolerated by the United States  
6 Government.

7  
8 Nevertheless, my accomplishments includes, but are not limited  
9 by, the brief list outlined below:

10  
11 1) Proof of Fermat's Last Theorem  
12 2) The discovery of an Alternate Mathematical Field!  
13 3) Writing of a New Telecommunications Standard for the  
14 Internet, which includes Radio Broadcast Channels  
15 4) The Binary Conversion of the Electromagnetic Spectrum!  
16 5) The Binary Conversion for the SI Units  
17 6) Proof that Newton's Laws of Motion are wrong!  
18 7) The Exponential Expansion of Set Theory!  
19 8) Establishing the foundation for Quantum Scale Field Theory  
20 9) Developing the Foundation for a New Binary Assembler  
21 Programming Language  
22 10) Developing the IPtX / IPtX-MX IP Addressing Specification  
23 11) Developing the IPtX-MX DHCP Specification  
24 12) Developing the IPtX-MX DNS Specification  
25 13) Announcing the Discovery of the possibility for the  
existence of 'Free Energy'  
14) Proving that the Current Binary Numbering System is wrong!  
15) Writing the definition of a Binary Number

1 16) Establishing the Foundation for Finite Quantum Computing  
2 and Finite Quantum Computer Programming  
3 17) Discovered the Mathematical relationship defining the  
4 association between the Electron and the Bit, which  
5 Mathematically defines Bit-Map of an Electron!

6 "The CIDR Network Descriptor expands the size of the IPtX  
7 Address Space beyond the IPv6 IP Addressing Specification"

8 [http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-cidr-net-](http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-cidr-net-descrpt-expands-iptx-add-spc-21.pdf)  
9 descrpt-expands-iptx-add-spc-21.pdf

10 "The Mathematics of Quantification, and the Rudiments Of the  
11 Ternary Logical States of the Binary Systems"

12 [http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-math-quant-](http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-math-quant-ternary-logic-of-binary-sys-12.pdf)  
13 ternary-logic-of-binary-sys-12.pdf

14 "The IPtX Domain Name Service Specification; IPtX-MX DNS"

15 [http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-iptx-mx-dns-](http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-iptx-mx-dns-specification-04.pdf)  
16 specification-04.pdf

17 "The IPtX Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol; DHCPvIPtX-MX"

18 [http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-iptx-mx-dhcp-](http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-terrell-iptx-mx-dhcp-specification-02.pdf)  
19 specification-02.pdf

20 Clearly, any form of Discrimination Practices, which stifles  
21 Basic Research that is intended for the benefit of the whole of  
22 humanity, will ultimately lead to the Extinction of the entire  
23 Human Race. IN OTHER WORDS, IT SHOULD NOT BE SAID, RACIAL  
24 DISCRIMINATION, THE CAST SYSTEM OF A MONARCHY, ONLY SERVES TO  
25 PROMOTE THE BELIEFS IN A SUPERIOR RACE. That is, ANY

1 DISCRIMINTORY PRACTICE, against anyone, the HARMFUL EFFECTS it  
2 maintains TRANSEND WELL BEYOND RACE HATRED, BECAUSE IT PROMOTES  
3 LIES AND DECET; IT PREVENTS TEACHING THE TRUTH. In other words,  
4 I was denied the Rights defined by Law, which, were/are being  
5 enjoyed by White Americans.

6

7

8 PARTIES

9

10 1) Plaintiff; Eugene Terrell, owner and Principle Director of  
11 Research and Development, since 1994, of Engineering  
12 Theoretical Technologies - Research and Development  
13 Publications.

14

15 2) Defendant(s) ;

16 a. United States Government

17 b. State of California - Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger

18 c. California Department of Social Services

19 1) John A. Wagner, Director

20 2) Ms. Hill, Director Eastmont Division

21 3) Ms. Thorgkham (VB35), Cash Aid Worker

22 4) Ms. Trujillo (253), Employment Consultant

23 5) Betty O. Buccat, Administrative Law Judge

24 6) Frank Aguiar (Y286), County Representative

## SUMMARY OF FACTS AND GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

It is important, I think, to mention that, while this is the longest period I have ever received Welfare, it is not my first time using the system. Its funny, as a 4-year-old child, I dreamed of being the Greatest Scientist in the World, traveling to different Countries, and having a Baby in each Country I visited. Well, I am an accomplished Research Scientist, who is known all over the World. However, while I have visited many Countries, I am the father of only one child: Yahnay Kristine Terrell.

Nevertheless, I inquired about, and asked for help with not only my Business, but for Computer Certifications too. However, in the latter case, I was told that they did not have any vacancies in the Cal-Works Program, and that it could take years to be approved for the assistance (Computer Certification Classes) I requested. And if you'll note, my inquiry about Computer Certification was during the mid 1990's. Needless to say, during the early 1990's, again on Welfare, I was told by a Worker that maybe, since I could get employment, I should start my own Business. So, in 1994, I started ETT-R&D Publications, with only a Hope, that one day I would turn the 1979 discovery of the solution of 'Fermat's Last Theorem' into some practical use. But, I had many issues, which needed practical resolution; e.g. 'How

1 to survive, obtain income in an environment of Hatred?'  
2 Especially since, I was Homeless, doing my studies on the  
3 Streets of Chicago, and Toronto Canada. So, I resolved this  
4 problem by getting certified under California Penal Code Section  
5 832, and becoming a Professional Security Office... and this  
6 worked, for a while.

7  
8 In any case, while I have had many bouts, trying to survive on  
9 the Streets, I have use only the Law, in an attempt to resolve  
10 my dilemma. In other words, in 2005, I tried once again, for  
11 several Months, to get help for my Business. And more  
12 importantly, I would not have known anything about the Laws, if  
13 they (Representatives of the Welfare Department), had not tried  
14 to stop my Food Stamps. Nevertheless, in May 2005, after  
15 exhausting, what I thought as being nearly everyone, I filed a  
16 Complaint with California Department of Social Services,  
17 requesting assistance, and equipment for my Business. Moreover,  
18 I presented a Business Proposal, which outlined my objective to  
19 write Text Books, in Mathematics, that were for the Elementary  
20 School level. I was, needless to say, rejected, in what was  
21 clearly a verbal FIGHT, between Mr. Aguiar and myself, which  
22 resonated with the all to familiar sound, of Race Hatred.  
23 In other words, I was denied the Rights defined by Law, which  
24 were/are being enjoyed by White Americans.

## LEGAL BACKGROUND

No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

"The Equal Protection Clause of the 14th amendment of the U.S. Constitution prohibits states from denying any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

See U.S. Const. amend. XIV.

In other words, the laws of a state must treat an individual in the same manner as others in similar conditions and circumstances. A violation would occur, for example, if a state prohibited an individual from entering into an employment contract because he or she was a member of a particular race.

The equal protection clause is not intended to provide "equality" among individuals or classes but only "equal application" of the laws. The result, therefore, of a law is not relevant so long as there is no discrimination in its application. By denying states the ability to discriminate, the equal protection clause of the Constitution is crucial to the

1 protection of civil rights. See Civil Rights and Discrimination.

2

3 Generally, the question of whether the equal protection clause  
4 has been violated arises when a state grants a particular class  
5 of individuals the right to engage in activity yet denies other  
6 individuals the same right."

7

8 "The Supreme Court has dictated the application of different  
9 tests depending on the type of classification and its effect on  
10 fundamental rights. Traditionally, the Court finds a state  
11 classification constitutional if it has "a rational basis" to a  
12 "legitimate state purpose." The Supreme Court, however, has  
13 applied more stringent analysis in certain cases. It will  
14 "strictly scrutinize" a distinction when it embodies a "suspect  
15 classification." In order for a classification to be subject to  
16 strict scrutiny, it must be shown that the state law or its  
17 administration is meant to discriminate. Usually, if a purpose  
18 to discriminate is found the classification will be strictly  
19 scrutinized if it is based on race, national origin, or, in  
20 some situations, non U.S. citizenship (the suspect classes). In  
21 order for a classification to be found permissible under this  
22 test it must be proven, by the state, that there is a compelling  
23 interest to the law and that the classification is necessary to  
24 further that interest. The Court will also apply a strict  
25 scrutiny test if the classification interferes with fundamental

1 rights such as first amendment rights, the right to privacy, or  
2 the right to travel. The Supreme Court also requires states to  
3 show more than a rational basis (though it does not apply the  
4 strictly scrutiny test) for classifications based on gender or a  
5 child's status as illegitimate."

6

7 1) SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES - No. 91-1721. *Argued*  
8 *February 22, 1993 -- Decided June 14, 1993; NORTHEASTERN FLORIDA*  
9 *CHAPTER OF THE ASSOCIATED GENERAL CONTRACTORS OF AMERICA v. CITY*  
10 *OF JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA, et al. certiorari to the united states*  
11 *court of appeals for the eleventh circuit:*

12

13 Held:

14

15

16 1. The case is not moot. It is well settled that the voluntary  
17 cessation of a challenged practice does not deprive a federal  
18 court of its power to determine the practice's legality,  
19 because a defendant is not precluded from reinstating the  
20 practice. Here, there is more than a mere risk that the city  
21 will repeat its allegedly wrongful conduct; it has already  
22 done so. Insofar as the city's new ordinance accords  
23 preferential treatment in the award of city contracts, it  
24 disadvantages petitioner's members in the same way that the  
25 repealed ordinance did.

1       2. Petitioner has standing to sue the city. Pp. 6-12.

2

3       (a) When the government erects a barrier that makes it more

4       difficult for members of one group to obtain a benefit than

5       it is for members of another group, a member of the former

6       group seeking to challenge the barrier need not allege that

7       he would have obtained the benefit but for the barrier in

8       order to establish standing. See, e.g., Regents of

9       University California v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265. The "injury

10       in fact" element of standing in such an equal protection

11       case is the denial of equal treatment resulting from the

12       imposition of the barrier--here, the inability to compete

13       on an equal footing in the bidding process--not the

14       ultimate inability to obtain the benefit. To establish

15       standing, therefore, petitioner need only demonstrate that

16       its members are able and ready to bid on contracts and that

17       a discriminatory policy prevents them from doing so on an

18       equal basis.

1 2) SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES - No. 02-516. Argued April  
2 1, 2003-Decided June 23, 2003; GRATZ et al. v. BOLLINGER et al.  
3 CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH  
4 CIRCUIT:

5  
6 Held:  
7

8 1. Petitioners have standing to seek declaratory and  
9 injunctive relief. The Court rejects Justice Stevens'  
10 contention that, because Hamacher did not actually apply  
11 for admission as a transfer student, his future injury  
12 claim is at best conjectural or hypothetical rather than  
13 real and immediate. The "injury in fact" necessary to  
14 establish standing in this type of case is the denial of  
15 equal treatment resulting from the imposition of the  
16 barrier, not the ultimate inability to obtain the  
17 benefit. Northeastern Fla. Chapter, Associated Gen.  
18 Contractors of America v. Jacksonville, 508 U.S. 656,  
19 666. In the face of such a barrier, to establish  
20 standing, a party need only demonstrate that it is able  
21 and ready to perform and that a discriminatory policy  
22 prevents it from doing so on an equal basis.

1           3. Because the University's use of race in its current  
2           Freshman admissions policy violates the Equal Protection  
3           Clause, it also violates Title VI and §1981. See, e.g.,  
4           Alexander v. Sandoval, 532 U.S. 275, 281; General  
5           Building Contractors Assn. v. Pennsylvania, 458 U.S. 375,  
6           389–390. Accordingly, the Court reverses that portion of  
7           the District Court's decision granting respondents  
8           summary judgment with respect to liability. Pp. 27–28.

9

10

11 TITLE 42 CHAPTER 21 SUBCHAPTER I Section 1981.

12           "Equal rights under the law"

13

14 (a) Statement of equal rights

15           All persons within the jurisdiction of the United States  
16           shall have the same right in every State and Territory to  
17           make and enforce contracts, to sue, be parties, give  
18           evidence, and to the full and equal benefit of all laws  
19           and proceedings for the security of persons and property  
20           as is enjoyed by white citizens, and shall be subject to  
21           like punishment, pains, penalties, taxes, licenses, and  
22           exactions of every kind, and to no other.

1 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the United States Constitution

2  
3 No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the  
4 Privileges or Immunities of citizens of the United States.  
5  
6  
7

8 3) SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES - No. 1. Argued December  
9, 1952. Reargued December 8, 1953. Decided May 17, 1954; BROWN  
10 ET AL. v. BOARD OF EDUCATION OF TOPEKA ET AL. APPEAL FROM THE  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS:

12  
13 The landmark Supreme Court case of Brown v. Board of Education  
14 (1954) settled the question of whether or not blacks and whites  
15 can receive an education integrated with or separate from each  
16 other. The case overturned the 1896 case of Plessy v. Ferguson,  
17 which established the doctrine of "separate but equal." This  
18 concept stated that separate public facilities of equal quality  
19 do not violate the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth  
20 Amendment of the Constitution, which reads:

1 Section 1. All persons born or naturalized in the United  
2 States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof,  
3 are citizens of the United States and of the state  
4 wherein they reside. No state shall make or enforce  
5 any law which shall abridge the privileges or  
6 immunities of citizens of the United States; nor  
7 shall any state deprive any person of life,  
8 liberty, or property, without due process of law;  
9 nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the  
10 equal protection of the laws.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

I requested, and was denied, the Entrepreneurial Training, and the assistance to purchase the equipment I needed for my Business. And, both requests are defined by the requirements of the Law:

1) Title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998

(29 U.S.C. 2801)

2) State of California; WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE

**SECTION 11320-11329.4**

Please note: Regardless of the Nature of the Assistance Request, the California Department of Social Services only responds when the matter/subject is submitted to their Adjudication Process. In which case, if the Client is not knowledgeable of the Laws, the Laws are tailored to deny the Client's Request. In other words, only the Services they want you to know about, there is information provided. That is, information on how to get Housing, Educational or Vocational Training, or any personalized help is not available. So, I ask the Court, since the money has been allocated for these purposes, where are the Programs? Are these Programs set up only for the White Americans? Are the Representatives from the Department of Social Services required to obey the Law?

## **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

## Damages - Business Assistance Denial

1) WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION 11323.2. (a) (3)

- a) HP Compaq Laptop Computer with Accessories - \$4,500
  - 1) Cost of Equipment, Clothing, and Tools - \$1,500
  - 2) Business Assistance, Review of Business Proposal -
- b) Replace Computer Lab Equipment - \$35,000
- c) Telescope: Meade - 16 inch LX200R Advanced Ritchey-Chretien with UHTC on EQ Pier with 3-Year Sky Assurance and accessories - \$25,000
- d) Or the Sum, equaling the cost to acquire the requested Business Assistance and Equipment Defined, and Required by Law  $\approx$  \$66,000 tax

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court issues an Order to the California Department of Social Services to:

- 1) Compensate / Pay the amount of \$66,000 to the Plaintiff for the purchase of the equipment outlined by this Motion
- 2) Provide the necessary Services, as requested by the Plaintiff, and required by Law, to establish his Business
- 3) And if, upon any finding of Fact that Discrimination is indeed the prevalent issue. The Plaintiff request that the Court Penalize the Named Defendants as prescribed by California CIVIL CODE SECTION - 52.1. (a) and (b), which requires each of the Defendants to pay \$25,000 to the Plaintiff.

## Supplemental - Table of Authority

## U.S. Codes / Federal and California State Laws

**Title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2801)**

TITLE 29 CHAPTER 16 SUBCHAPTER I Part A Section 721

**(a) Plan Requirements - (1) (A) Submission**

[To be eligible to participate in programs under this subchapter, a State shall submit to the Commissioner a State plan for vocational rehabilitation services that meets the requirements of this section, on the same date that the State submits a State plan under section 112 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 [29 U.S.C. 2822].]

**TITLE 29 CHAPTER 30 SUBCHAPTER I Section 2801 - Definitions**

(9) (c) Dislocated worker - Self-Employed Entrepreneur

**(46) Supportive Services**

[The term "supportive services" means services such as transportation, child care, dependent care, housing, and Needs-Related Payments, that are necessary to enable an individual to participate in activities authorized under this chapter, consistent with the provisions of this chapter.]

## 1 TITLE 29 CHAPTER 30 SUBCHAPTER II Part E Section 2864

2 Use of funds for employment and training activities

## 3 (d) Required local employment and training activities

## 4 (4) Training services

5 (D) Training services (vi) Entrepreneurial Training;

6 (E) Priority

7 In the event that funds allocated to a local area for  
8 adult employment and training activities under paragraph  
9 (2) (A) or (3) of section 2863 (b) of this title are  
10 limited, priority shall be given to recipients of public  
11 assistance and other low-income individuals for  
12 intensive services and training services. The  
13 appropriate local board and the Governor shall direct  
14 the one-stop operators in the local area with regard to  
15 making determinations related to such priority.

16  
17 State of California; WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION18 11320-11329.4

19  
20 SECTION 11320.31 No sanctions shall be applied for a failure or  
21 refusal to comply with program requirements for reasons related  
22 to employment, an offer of employment, an activity, or other  
23 training for employment including, but not limited to, the  
24 following reasons: (i) Self-Employment

1       Section 11323.2 (a) Necessary supportive services shall be  
2       available to every participant in order to participate in the  
3       program activity to which he or she is assigned or to accept  
4       employment or the participant shall have good cause for not  
5       participating under subdivision (f) of Section 11320.3. As  
6       provided in the welfare-to-work plan entered into between the  
7       county and participant pursuant to this article, supportive  
8       services shall include all of the following:

9  
10      (2) Transportation costs, which shall be governed by regional  
11       market rates as determined in accordance with regulations  
12       established by the department.

13  
14      (3) Ancillary expenses, which shall include the cost of books,  
15       tools, clothing specifically required for the job, fees, and  
16       other necessary costs.

17  
18      SECTION 11323.4 (a) Payments for supportive services, as  
19       described in Section 11323.2, shall be advanced to the  
20       participant, wherever necessary, and when desired by the  
21       participant, so that the participant need not use his or her  
22       funds to pay for these services. Payments for childcare services  
23       shall be made in accordance with Article 15.5 (commencing with  
24       Section 8350) of Chapter 2 of Part 6 of the Education Code.  
25

1 **State of California; CIVIL CODE SECTION 43-53**

2

3 **Section 52.1**

4

5 (a) If a person or persons, whether or not acting under color  
6 of law, interferes by threats, intimidation, or coercion, or  
7 attempts to interfere by threats, intimidation, or coercion,  
8 with the exercise or enjoyment by any individual or individuals  
9 of rights secured by the Constitution or laws of the United  
10 States, or of the rights secured by the Constitution or laws of  
11 this state, the Attorney General, or any district attorney or  
12 city attorney may bring a civil action for injunctive and other  
13 appropriate equitable relief in the name of the people of the  
14 State of California, in order to protect the peaceable exercise  
15 or enjoyment of the right or rights secured. An action brought  
16 by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or any city  
17 attorney may also seek a civil penalty of twenty-five thousand  
18 dollars (\$25,000). If this civil penalty is requested, it shall  
19 be assessed individually against each person who is determined  
20 to have violated this section and the penalty shall be  
21 awarded to each individual whose rights under this section are  
22 determined to have been violated.

23

24

25

1 (b) Any individual whose exercise or enjoyment of rights  
2 secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or of  
3 rights secured by the Constitution or laws of this state, has  
4 been interfered with, or attempted to be interfered with, as  
5 described in subdivision (a), may institute and prosecute in his  
6 or her own name and on his or her own behalf a civil action for  
7 damages, including, but not limited to, damages under Section  
8 52, injunctive relief, and other appropriate equitable relief to  
9 protect the peaceable exercise or enjoyment of the right or  
10 rights secured.

11  
12

13 California Constitution

14 Article 1 - Declaration of Rights - Section 28

15  
16 The rights of victims pervade the criminal justice system,  
17 encompassing not only the right to Restitution from the  
18 wrongdoers for financial losses suffered as a result of criminal  
19 acts, but also the more basic expectation that persons who  
20 commit Felonious acts causing injury to innocent victims will be  
21 appropriately detained in custody, tried by the courts, and  
22 sufficiently punished so that the public safety is protected  
23 and encouraged as a goal of highest importance.

24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **Evidence Section**  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES****Hearing No. 2005125291***In the Matter of Claimant(s):*

Eugene Terrell  
 3312 64<sup>th</sup> Avenue Place  
 Oakland, CA 94605

**DECISION**

Pursuant to the authority of the Director,  
 I adopt the attached final decision.

*Betty O'Buccat*  
 Betty O. Buccat

Administrative Law Judge

Adopt Date: **6/16/05**

<i>State Hearing Record</i>	
<i>Hearing Date:</i>	<b>June 8, 2005</b>
<i>Release Date:</i>	<b>JUN 16 2005</b>
<i>Aid Pending:</i>	<b>Not Applicable</b>
<i>Issue Codes:</i>	<b>[003-2]</b>
<i>Agency:</i>	<b>Alameda County</b>
<i>Agency Representative:</i>	<b>Frank Aguiar</b>
<i>Agency:</i>	
<i>Agency Representative:</i>	
<i>Authorized Rep. Organization:</i>	
<i>Authorized Rep.:</i>	
<i>SSN:</i>	
<i>SSN:</i>	
<i>AKA:</i>	
<i>AKA:</i>	
<i>Case Name:</i>	
<i>Language:</i>	
<i>LA District/Case:</i>	
<i>Companion Case:</i>	

**Appeal Rights**

You may ask for a rehearing of this decision by mailing a written request to the Rehearing Unit, 744 P Street, MS 19-37, Sacramento, CA 95814 within 30 days after you receive this decision. In your rehearing request, state the date you received this decision and why a rehearing should be granted. If you want to present additional evidence, describe the additional evidence and explain why it was not introduced before and how it would change the decision. You may contact Legal Services for assistance.

You may ask for judicial review of this decision by filing a petition in Superior Court under Code of Civil Procedure §1094.5 within one year after you receive this decision. You may file this petition without asking for a rehearing. No filing fees are required. You may be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs if the Court renders a final decision in your favor. You may contact Legal Services for assistance.

This decision is protected by the confidentiality provisions of Welfare and Institutions Code §10850.

**SUMMARY**

The claimant does not have a right to a state hearing regarding his Food Stamp (FS) benefits since there is no Alameda county action or inaction that he can dispute at this.

**FACTS**

The claimant is a recipient of FS benefits and is not eligible to receive California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) benefits. The county representative testified that there is no action or inaction with regards to the claimant's FS benefits at this time. The county representative also indicated that the claimant is an FS Employment and Training Program (FSET) participant and there is no action with regards to the FSET Program.

The claimant testified the he disputes the county's failure to provide him with supportive services which he claims he is entitled to receive like all the recipients of aid in the county. The claimant argues that pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code (W&IC) Sections 11323.2 and 11322.7, every county is required to provide a range of needed activities and services to ensure that each participant has assistance in seeking employment, obtain education and training, and to be self-supporting. The claimant requests that the county provide him with the cost of a personal computer which is \$4,080.67 after rebate. The claimant testified that he does not wish to apply for CalWORKs benefits.

The county representative testified that there is no request received from the claimant for purchase of a laptop computer, and therefore, no county action or inaction has occurred at this time.

**LAW**

All the regulations cited refer to the Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP), unless otherwise noted.

State hearings under the FS Program shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Division 22. (§63-804.1)

A state hearing shall be available to a claimant who is dissatisfied with a county action and requests a state hearing. (§22-003.1)

A county action is one which requires adequate notice, as well as any other county action or inaction relating to the claimant's application for or receipt of aid. (§22-001c.(5))

"Aid" includes all public assistance programs subject to a state hearing. These programs include CalWORKs (formerly AFDC), the state-administered programs for recipients of SSI/SSP contained in Division 46, the RRP, the CHEP, the FS Program, Medi-Cal, the social services programs described in Divisions 30 and 31, the AAC and AAP Programs, and the MSSP. (§22-001a.(3)(A))

A request for hearing shall be dismissed if the issue is not within the jurisdiction of a state hearing as defined in §22-003.1 and W&IC §10950. (§22-054.31)

**CONCLUSION**

The claimant is an FS recipient and is participant in the FSET Program. The county indicates that there is no action or inaction with regards to the claimant's FS benefits. The county has not received any request for reimbursement of or payment of a personal computer at this time. The county, therefore, has taken no action with regards to such a request. Inasmuch as there is no action or inaction on the part of the county which the claimant can dispute at this time, there is no jurisdiction to decide the issue raised by the claimant in his request for a state hearing.

**ORDER**

The claim is dismissed.

jb5166

<p><b>California Department of Social Services Civil Rights Bureau (CRB) 744 P Street, M.S. 15-70 Sacramento, CA 95814 Email address: <a href="mailto:crb@dss.ca.gov">crb@dss.ca.gov</a></b></p>	<p><b>U.S. Department of Health &amp; Human Services Office of Civil Rights 50 United Nations Plaza San Francisco, CA 94102 <a href="mailto:OCRcomplaint@hhs.gov">OCRcomplaint@hhs.gov</a> Christine Webb-Curtis, Chief Daralynn Harrison, Secretary</b></p>
<p><b>Alameda County Social Services Agency Administrative Offices 1106 Madison St. Oakland, Ca 94607 Director of the Agency: Chet P. Hewitt Assistant Agency Director: Paul Reeves Telephone Fax: 510-271.9108</b></p>	<p><b>Location of Incident:</b>   <b>Alameda County Social Services Agency Eastmont Town Center 6955 Foothill Blvd Suite 100 Oakland, Ca. 94605</b></p>
<p><b>State of California Governor's Office Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger State Capitol Building Sacramento, CA 95814 Fax: 916-445-4633 <a href="mailto:governor@governor.ca.gov">governor@governor.ca.gov</a></b></p>	<p><b>Alameda County Department of Social Services Children and Family Services Agency Director: Carol J. Collins Administrative Offices 24100 Amador St Hayward, Ca. 94544 Telephone Fax: 510-780.8809</b></p>
<p><b>State of California Alameda County Alameda Board of Supervisors President Keith Carson <a href="mailto:dist5@acgov.org">dist5@acgov.org</a></b>   <b>Vice President Scott Haggerty <a href="mailto:district1@acgov.org">district1@acgov.org</a></b>   <b>Rodney Brooks Chief of Staff <a href="mailto:rodney.brooks@acgov.org">rodney.brooks@acgov.org</a></b>   <b>Aisha Brown Legislative Aide <a href="mailto:aisha.brown@acgov.org">aisha.brown@acgov.org</a></b></p>	<p><b>Alameda County Office of the District Attorney 1225 Fallon Street Room 900 Oakland CA 94612 <a href="mailto:alcoda@acgov.org">alcoda@acgov.org</a></b>   <b>United States of America United States Government Office of the President President George W. Bush: <a href="mailto:president@whitehouse.gov">president@whitehouse.gov</a></b>   <b>Vice President Richard Cheney: <a href="mailto:vice.president@whitehouse.gov">vice.president@whitehouse.gov</a></b></p>

**Alameda County Social Services Agency  
Director of Eastmont Town Center  
Eastmont Town Center  
6955 Foothill Blvd Suite 100  
Oakland, Ca. 94605**

**Ms. Hill:**

**I have on several occasions spoken to my employment counselor, an Alameda County Representative, leaving voice messages by telephony, and I voiced my written complaint to the Adjudicator of the Appeals Unit during a hearing. I moreover, made several attempts to contact another counselor for assistance, until a no contact caused an inquiry as to why, and my employment counselor said he could not assist me in the matters involving my concerns. In other words, I am continuously being denied the services defined by law; Welfare and Institution Codes, including the laws of the United States Government.**

**Perhaps, your hatred of me, because of my race and color difference, will succeed in preventing me from being a parent to my first and only child. Or, the continued denial of my Civil and Constitutional Rights, which allowed me to be Criminally Convicted for a Letter of Intent to Sue, may provide all of you with the belief that you are to be feared, worshiped, and maintain the right of my assassination, because**

**you believe yourselves to be the killers of God. Be forewarned... your irrational and psychotic behaviorisms that support your Pagan Beliefs, which are well documented in history, will ultimately, lead to your individual demise. In other words, I strongly suggest to each of you, and all those who support the insanity of the dogma encompassing your Draconian World, that you abide by the Covenant accepted by every United States Citizen, which defines the Laws of this Country.**

Nevertheless, my request, and the associated laws which guarantee my rights and the responsibility of those persons so authorize in meeting this obligation, are outlined below:

**Request 1: Need assistance to establish contact with the person in the California Department of Education who I should submit the Proposal.**

**State of California; WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION 11320-11329.4**

**\*11322.7. (a) Every county shall provide an adequate range of those activities described in Section 11322.6 to ensure each participant's access to needed activities and services to assist him or her in seeking employment, to provide education and training the participant needs to find self-supporting work, and to arrange for placement in paid or unpaid work settings that will enhance a participant's ability to obtain unsubsidized employment.**

**\*Title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 2801 et seq.)**

**Federal Food Stamp Program**  
**CHAPTER 51 - FOOD STAMP PROGRAM**

**\**(vi) Programs designed to increase the self-sufficiency of recipients through self-employment, including programs that provide instruction for self-employment ventures.***

**Request 2: I need assistance in obtaining Equipment, a service that is needed to adequately perform my Job; I need a Laptop Computer, the specifics of the equipment is outlined below. I cannot afford to rent or purchase the services this equipment will provide.**

**State of California; WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE SECTION 11320-11329.4**

**\*\*11320.31. (i) Self-employment.**

**\*11322.7. (a) Every county shall provide an adequate range of those activities described in Section 11322.6 to ensure each participant's access to needed activities and services to assist him or her in seeking employment, to provide education and training the participant needs to find self-supporting work, and to arrange for placement in paid or unpaid work settings that will enhance a participant's ability to obtain unsubsidized employment.**

**\*\*11323.2. (a) Necessary supportive services shall be available to every participant in order to participate in the program activity to which he or she is assigned or to accept employment or the participant shall have good cause for not participating under subdivision (f) of Section 11320.3. As provided in the welfare-to-work plan entered into between the county and participant pursuant to this article, supportive services shall include all of the following:**

**(2) Transportation costs, which shall be governed by regional market rates as determined in accordance with regulations established by the department.**

**\*\*\*3) Ancillary expenses, which shall include the cost of books, tools, clothing specifically required for the job, fees, and other necessary costs.**

**(4) Personal counseling. A participant who has personal or family problems that would affect the outcome of the welfare-to-work plan entered into pursuant to this article shall, to the extent available, receive necessary counseling or therapy to help him or her and his or her family adjust to his or her job or training assignment.**

**(b) If provided in a county plan, the county may continue to provide case management and supportive services under this section to former participants who become employed. The county may provide these services for up to the first 12 months of employment to the extent they are not available from other sources and are needed for the individual to retain the employment.**

**11323.4. (a) Payments for supportive services, as described in Section 11323.2, shall be advanced to the participant, wherever necessary, and when desired by the participant, so that the participant need not use his or her funds to pay for these services. Payments for child care services shall be made in accordance with Article 15.5 (commencing with Section 8350) of Chapter 2 of Part 6 of the Education Code.**

**Laptop Computer: HP Compaq X6000 series PC**

price - \$4,193.86  
instant rebate - \$74.99  
mail-in rebate - \$30.00  
price after rebate - \$4,088.87  
you saved - 2.5%

**Operating System: Microsoft(R) Windows(R) XP Professional with SP2**

**Processor: Intel(R) Pentium(R) 4 650 w/HT Technology, 3.4GHz**

**Display: 17.0" WSXGA+ BrightView Wide Viewing (1680x1050)**

**Memory: 2.0GB DDR2 SDRAM, 533MHz (2x1024MB)**

**Hard Drive: 100 GB 5400 RPM Hard Drive**

**Primary CD/DVD Drive: DVD+-RW/R CD-RW Combo w/Double Layer**

**Graphics Card: 256MB ATI Mobility Radeon(TM) X600**

**Networking: 54g(TM) Integ. Broadcom 802.11b/g WLAN & Bluetooth**

**Primary Battery: Extra 12 Cell Lithium Ion Battery**

**Microsoft(R) Office 2003 SBE**

**HP extended service plans:**

**3-year HP Accidental Damage Protection  
with Express Repair extended service plan**

**Detto IntelliMover™ USB Data Migration Kit**

**HP 4-port USB 2.0 Hub with Quad TT**

**HP Executive Carrying Case**

**Docking Solutions:**

**HP xb2000 Notebook Expansion Base with 160GB Hard Drive Kit**

**HP Wireless Keyboard and Mouse**

**Linksys® Wireless-G Broadband Router with Speedbooster**

**HP Kensington® Security Lock**

**CD/DVD media products:**

**HP 8x 4.7GB DVD+R Media, 10-pack**

Sincerely,

**Eugene Terrell**  
3312 64<sup>th</sup> Avenue Place  
Oakland, California  
94605  
[eterrell00@netzero.net](mailto:eterrell00@netzero.net)  
510-636-9885  
Welfare Case Number: 112232-09  
SSN: 343-44-4565

---

May 23<sup>rd</sup> 2005

cc: Supervisor of Employment Service Center Counselors; Ms. Keys,  
Alameda County Representative; Ms. Martha Crumes, Y282,

Enclosures: 1 Copy of my Proposal which will be addressed to;  
California Department of Education

**Note:** Example of my work is unnecessary, since this was the accompaniment of my last complaint. Furthermore, it is important to mention that I was told by an Alameda County Representative that these Laws do not apply to me. Why am I excluded? Do the Laws of the State of California, or the Laws from the U.S. Constitution apply to persons having a Skin Color, Religion, or Race difference? (Date of Occurrence: May 23, 2005)

## COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION

NAME EUGENE TERRILL  
 ADDRESS 3312 64th Avenue Place  
Circlewood, CA 94605

AID TYPE Food Stamp  
 CASE NUMBER 0112232-09  
 AREA CODE  
 PHONE (510) 636-9885

I believe I have been discriminated against on the basis of:

RACE       NATIONAL ORIGIN       RELIGION       MARITAL STATUS  
 SEX       COLOR       DISABILITY       AGE  
 POLITICAL AFFILIATION

NAME OF PERSON WHO DISCRIMINATED	TITLE	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE AND AGENCY
1. Frank Acuña	Alameda County Representative	May 23, 2005 June 6, 2005	156 Clay St Oakland, CA
2. Betty B	Alameda County Advisor	June 8, 2005	156 Clay St Oakland, CA
3. Ms. Hill	Director of Administration SS Office	Letter copy of Request to Review April 25, 2005	Customer Center
4. Ms. Belding	Program Manager	June 20, 2005	Office of the Director Alameda County SS

Describe in your own words what action(s) have happened to lead you to believe you have been discriminated against.

I am a Researcher, started my company in 1992, and I worked supporting it until I developed a viable product. Now, I am on Welfare, receiving Cash Aid and Food Stamps. However, because of my Race I have been DENIED all the Rights/Benefits prescribed by STATE and Federal Law, for any person who attempts to gain self-sufficiency through self-employment. Since People in Authority "How is it possible to be Denied - Inaction is Inaction - written, plus I NEED THE NAME OF A CONTACT PERSON working for THE California Department of Education, who is assigned to review manuscripts for book publication/distribution in the California School System, and I NEED a Laptop Computer.

I understand the above information is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Eugene Terrill  
 COMPLAINANT'S SIGNATURE

July 6, 2005  
 DATE

# [dns-operations] DNSWorld conference 2007

Stephane Bortzmeyer [bortzmeyer at nic.fr](mailto:bortzmeyer@nic.fr)

Thu Jul 26 16:54:10 UTC 2007

- Previous message: [\[dns-operations\] DNSWorld conference 2007](#)
- Next message: [\[dns-operations\] DNSWorld conference 2007](#)
- **Messages sorted by:** [\[ date \]](#) [\[ thread \]](#) [\[ subject \]](#) [\[ author \]](#)

---

On Thu, Jul 12, 2007 at 04:57:16PM -0400,

Jack Fisher <[jfishervr@gmail.com](mailto:jfishervr@gmail.com)> wrote  
a message of 76 lines which said:

> *you discover that there are other developments; likely beyond your  
grasp.*

Indeed, my grasp is quite limited but I believe that, thanks to the IETF, I've identified one of the speakers. The announcement for his breath-taking Internet-Draft is attached and I quote here a summary of his revolutionary views about the DNS :

Internet Draft

E. Terrell

Category: Informational

ETT-R&D Publications

Expires: January 27th, 2008

July 2007

The IPtX Domain Name Service Specification; IPtX-MX DNS

'draft-terrell-iptx-mx-dns-specification-00'

...

**Abstract**

This document defines the IPtX Specification for the 'Domain Name Service' (IPtX / IPtX-MX DNS), and eliminates the possibility of an Addressing 'Conflict', or a Mathematical Addressing Error in the IPtX Address Space. In other words, the IPtX / IPtX-MX IP Addressing format on the "Back-End", or "Backbone", obtains its uniqueness through the use and / or difference defined by the accuracy of the 'Exponential Decimal String'. However, this uniqueness, if not clarified, would not be discernable on the "Front-End", because the IPtX IP Addressing Specification 'Allows' only a '64' Bit-Mapped IP Address for every IP Addressing Format. That is, on the "Front-End", if there is No distinction, because every Addressing Format in the IPtX Specification, when Resolved, is Equal, there will ultimately be Address Conflicts within the Addressing Scheme.

----- next part -----

An embedded message was scrubbed...

From: [Internet-Drafts at ietf.org](mailto:Internet-Drafts at ietf.org)

Subject: I-D ACTION:draft-terrell-iptx-mx-dns-specification-00.txt

Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2007 12:15:02 -0400

Size: 8276

Url: <http://lists.oarci.net/pipermail/dns-operations/attachments/20070726/8070ae14/attachment.mht>

---

- Previous message: [\[dns-operations\] DNSWorld conference 2007](#)
- Next message: [\[dns-operations\] DNSWorld conference 2007](#)
- Messages sorted by: [\[ date \]](#) [\[ thread \]](#) [\[ subject \]](#) [\[ author \]](#)

---

[More information about the dns-operations mailing list](#)

OFFICE OF THE ALAMEDA COUNTY CLERK  
COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 1255 Fallon Street  
Oakland, CA 94612-4200 Telephone 272-3777  
FILING FEE

\$2.00 FOR FIRST BUSINESS NAME ON STATEMENT  
\$1.00 FOR EACH ADDITIONAL BUSINESS NAME FILED  
ON SAME STATEMENT AND DOING BUSINESS AT  
THE SAME LOCATION  
\$1.00 FOR EACH ADDITIONAL OWNER IN EXCESS OF  
ONE OWNER

Submit Original and 3 copies.  
Include self addressed stamped envelope, if mailed.  
Remove staples before mailing.

REVERSE SIDE FOR INSTRUCTIONS

FIRST FILING

RENEWAL FILING

NOTICE — THIS FICTITIOUS NAME STATEMENT EXPIRES FIVE YEARS FROM THE DATE IT WAS FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK. A NEW FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT MUST BE FILED BEFORE THAT TIME. THE FILING OF THIS STATEMENT DOES NOT OBTAIN ANY AUTHORITY TO USE IN THIS STATE OR IN ANY OTHER STATE THE NAME OF A FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME IN VIOLATION OF THE RIGHTS OF ANOTHER UNDER FEDERAL STATE OR COMMON LAW (SEE SECTION 1480 ET SEQ. BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE).

STAMP ONLY

FILED  
ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAY 08 1992

PATRICK O'CONNELL, County Clerk  
By Alce Davis, Deputy

RECEIVED

180104-05

## FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

① \*Engineer Inc. — THE NAME(S) OF THE BUSINESS(S): 6929A 05/08/92 PBN-544H 5.01  
\* Theoretical Technologies, Research & Development, Publications / Publications  
(Please Type or Print Fictitious Business Name(s) on Line Above)

\* \* \* ② 22650 W. Main St.:

(Residence Address or state of incorporation if incorporated)  My Registered Address Assigned = Give Exact Location of Business Plus P.O. Box or Rural Route

③ Hayward 94541

(City and Zip)

\* \* \* ④ Is this business registered by the following owners:

\* \* \* ⑤ E. Carnes R.R.L.

(Full Name — Type/Print)

⑥ 6929A 05/08/92 PBN-544H 5.01

(Full Name — Type/Print)

⑦ 22650 W. Main St.

(Residence Address or state of incorporation if incorporated)

(Residence Address or state of incorporation if incorporated)

⑧ Hayward 94541

(City and Zip)

(City and Zip)

⑨ E. Carnes R.R.L.

(Residence Address or state of incorporation if incorporated)

(Residence Address or state of incorporation if incorporated)

\* \* \* ⑩ (City and Zip)

(City and Zip)

\* \* \* \* This business is conducted by:  an Individual  Individual = Husband and Wife  a General Partnership  
 a Limited Partnership  a Corporation  a Business Trust  Co-Partners  a Joint Venture  
 as Unincorporated Association — other than a Partnership  Other (Specify) .....

\* \* \* \* The Registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above: 5/8/92

SIGNATURE OF REGISTRANT: Patricia J. Davis

S. O'Connell, Clerk

(Print name of person signing and, if a Corporate Officer, also state title)

This statement was filed with the County Clerk of Alameda County on date indicated by the stamp above.

Newspaper Copy

# ISRAEL JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

**Shahar Mozes**  
**Editor in**  
**Chief**

**Institute of Mathematics**  
**The Hebrew University**  
**Givat Ram**  
**Jerusalem, 91904, Israel**  
**e-mail: [iton@math.huji.ac.il](mailto:iton@math.huji.ac.il)**  
**<http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/~ijmath>**

**August 13, 2003**

**Ref: 3012**  
**Mr. E. Terrell**  
**3312 64th Avenue place**  
**Oakland,**  
**CA U.S.A.**  
**94605**

**Dear Mr. Terrell :**

**Thank you for sending us your paper:**

**The rudiments of finite geometry: the results of quantification .**

**Unfortunately your paper is out of the scope of the Israel Journal of Mathematics.  
There- fore we cannot consider it for publication.**

**We do thank you however for considering our journal.**

**Sincerely yours,**

**Editor in Chief  
Israel Journal of Mathematics**

# ISRAEL JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

**Shahar Mozes**

**Editor in  
Chief**

**Institute of Mathematics  
The Hebrew University  
Givat Ram  
Jerusalem, 91904, Israel  
e-mail: [iton@math.huji.ac.il](mailto:iton@math.huji.ac.il)  
<http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/~ijmath>**

**August 13, 2003**

**Ref: 3012  
Mr. E. Terrell  
3312 64th Avenue place  
Oakland,  
CA U.S.A.  
94605**

**Dear Mr. Terrell :**

**Thank you for sending us your paper:**

**The rudiments of finite geometry: the results of quantification .**

**Unfortunately your paper is out of the scope of the Israel Journal of Mathematics.  
There- fore we cannot consider it for publication.**

**We do thank you however for considering our journal.**

**Sincerely yours,**

**Editor in Chief  
Israel Journal of Mathematics**

**THE****EXECUTIVE EDITORS**Eric Bedford  
Robert E. Greene  
Nessim SibonyLuis Caffarelli  
Gennadi M. Henkin  
Guido L. Weiss  
John Erik Fornaess  
Elliott Lieb**OF GEOMETRIC  
ANALYSIS****MANAGING EDITOR****Steven G. Krantz**

**Mr. Eugene Terrell**  
**3312 64\* Avenue Place**  
**Oakland, CA 94605**

**Dear Dr. Terrell,**

We greatly appreciate your interest in our journal but, regrettably, we must return your *The Rudimenb of Frjite Geomeb ~The R~SU/dfQuant15cation1* because of publishing limitations.

Our capacity to publish is limited by an upper bound on the total number of pages in a volume and our editorial policy of keeping average waiting time to publication under eight months. In order to cope with these restrictions, the editors have concluded that the best policy is to limit the number of papers sent out for review.

Sincerely,

**5 L b. K-9-**

**Steven G. Krantz**  
**Managing Editor**

**This message is not flagged. [ Flag Message - Mark as Unread ]**

**Date:** Fri, 09 May 2003 09:16:02 -0700  
**From:** "ron graham" <graham@ucsd.edu> [Add to Address Book](#)  
**To:** "Eugene Terrell" <eugeneterrell@yahoo.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Getting Mathematical Research findings refereed / reviewed

**Dear Mr. Terrell,**

**The primary ways that people reach others with their mathematical (and other scientific) results is to submit the appropriate written versions to refereed journals, post preprints on various public or private Web servers or send email to potentially interested readers. In general, it is not easy to get people's attention for things like this, especially when trying to do something that is more or less revolutionary. One case in point is the recent efforts of Stephen Wolfram with the release of his new book "A New Kind of Science".**

**Good luck,**

**Ron Graham**

**The Mathematical Association of America  
1529 Eighteenth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20036-1385  
1-800-741-9415  
202-387-5200  
202-265-2384 - FAX**

**President  
Ronald L. Graham, University of California, San Diego**

[Print - Close Window](#)

**Date:** Fri, 11 Mar 2005 21:56:45 -0500  
**To:** eugeneterrell@yahoo.com  
**CC:** jsbm@ams.sunysb.edu, dtlee@iis.sinica.edu.tw, achen@iis.sinica.edu.tw  
**Subject:** your paper submitted to IJCGA  
**From:** "Joseph Mitchell" <jsbm@ams.sunysb.edu>

***Plain Text Attachment***

Dear Dr. Terrell,

I refer to your submission,

04-36

The Rudiments of Finite Geometry; the Results of Quantification by Eugene Terrell  
eugeneterrell@yahoo.com to IJCGA. I regret to inform you that, based on editorial board review,  
we are unable to accept your paper, as it is out of scope for a computational geometry journal.

Best,

Joe Mitchell  
co-Editor-in-Chief, IJCGA



**Date:** Wed, 06 Feb 2008 16:51:14 -0600

**From:** "Bill Branstetter" <bill.branstetter@abacussg.com>

**To:** eugeneterrell@yahoo.com

**Subject:** IPv6 Engineer Recruiting Help

Eugene,

I work for a government contractor called Abacus Solutions Group. I found your resume online this afternoon while searching for an IPv6 Engineer. I wanted to send you the details of the position in hope that you might be able to recommend someone in your network.

This is a full-time position located in Montgomery, AL at the Gunter Annex working on an Air Force IPv6 network. The Air Force has requested that the engineer be on site, so unfortunately, telecommuting is not an option for this position. Because this is a government system, we'll have to process a security clearance for the individual, therefore requiring all candidates to be U.S. citizens. Although compensation will largely be based on salary history and market demand of skill set, my ballpark is \$65-75k (with benefits). We also have money for relocation assistance.

The description of the position and its requirements are below. Please forward my email to anyone that you think might be interested in hearing about it. I appreciate any help you can give.

Thank you in advance,

Bill

#### b Description

Must have top knowledge on Internet Protocol (version 4 and/or 6) and TCP/IP addressing and subnetting. Ability to manage and administer assignment, allocation, redistribution of Air Force Internet Protocol address space consistent with DoD addressing schemes. Be proficient at assigning, verifying, and validating individual base and user IP addresses. Troubleshoot and resolve complex problems to ensure no disruption of mission-critical applications. Additionally, must be a technical contributor with advanced knowledge of and experience in local and wide area networking, communications, and related hardware/software such as bridges, gateways, routers, multiplexes and hubs. Requires knowledge of Testing Protocols test plans and reports. Some knowledge of Spirent, Ixia or similar testing systems desired. Prepares specifications (design, test, acceptance, etc.). Performs calculations and selects components. Designs subsystems and components. Obtains information from suppliers and vendors. Prepares documentation of methods and procedures. Implements tests and documents test data. Performs related work as required.

#### Required Skills

Bachelor's degree in Computer Science or Information Systems or Engineering related field or equivalent work experience with 5 years of directly related experience required. An equivalent combination of experience

and training which provide the required knowledge, skills, and abilities may substitute for the degree. Knowledge of engineering practices and techniques. Proven proficiency in designing, testing, etc., of engineering systems and subsystems. Capability to understand, interpret, and generate engineering documentation. Efficiency in writing specifications, work statements, and proposals.

Bill Branstetter  
Abacus Solutions Group, LLC  
T 1 888.293.1642  
<http://www.abacussq.com>  
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/branstetter>

This message may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply immediately to advise the sender of the error and then destroy this message, any copies of this message and any printout of this message. If you are not the intended recipient of the message, any unauthorized dissemination, distribution or copying of the material in the message, and any attachments to the message, is strictly forbidden.

## Attachments

es:

 **bill\_branstetter.vcf** (204)



## JOB OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT

The Oakland Private Industry Council (PIC) is accepting applications for:

<b>Title: Senior Computer Applications Specialist</b> <b>Grade: 7</b> <b>Rate: \$19.94/hr.</b>  <b>Exempt</b>  <b>Type of Appointment: Regular</b>	<b>Job Number: SCAS02E08</b> <b>Number of Positions: One (1)</b> <b>Department: SSA Career Center - Eastmont Site</b> <b>Schedule: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. M-F; 37.5 hrs/wk</b> <b>Opening Date: January 16, 2008</b> <b>Closing Date: February 18, 2008 or until filled</b> <b>Type of Recruitment: Open</b>
---	--

### INFORMATION TO APPLICANTS

**HOW TO APPLY:** Applicants mail, e-mail or fax resume with cover letter as follows:

Oakland PIC

or      E-mail: [picjobs@oaklandpic.org](mailto:picjobs@oaklandpic.org)

ATTN: Human Resources - TSS  
1212 Broadway, Suite 300  
Oakland, CA 94612

or      FAX to: M. Watts  
(510) 839-3766

Responsibility for the timely submission of resume rests with the applicant. To be considered the resume must be received no later than the closing date of the announcement.

This announcement may be used to fill the same or similar positions, temporary or permanent which become available within 180 days of the closing date. Applicants selected for temporary positions may be converted to a permanent position without further competition.

**ALL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS WILL RECEIVE CONSIDERATION FOR THIS POSITION WITHOUT REGARD TO RACE, AGE, RELIGION, COLOR, SEX, NATIONAL ORIGIN, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, OR PHYSICAL HANDICAP.**

**The Oakland Private Industry Council is an equal opportunity employer and program operator. Auxiliary aids are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. For TDD services please call: 1-800-735-2922.**

**El Oakland Private Industry Council, Inc., ofrece igualdad de oportunidades como empleador y operador de programas. Asistencia y servicios auxiliares para personas descapacitadas seran disponibles cuando se requieran. Para servicio TDD (para personas sordo-mudas) por favor llame al 1-800-735-2922.**

**屋崙職業輔導中心是一間平等就業機會的機構，而且為傷殘人士提供有需要的服務。聾啞人士服務熱線：1-800-735-2922。**

## **IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING CHANGES TO THE GENERAL ASSISTANCE (GA) PROGRAM**

**Effective January 1, 2008,  
Your GA payments may be time limited to 6 months.**

- The 6-month time limit will affect all employable applicants and recipients. This means that you will be eligible for cash aid for a period of six (6) months in any twelve (12) month period. This will apply whether aid was paid for six continuous months, or if there was a break in aid between months in any 12-month period.
- Applicants and recipients will still be required to meet all other eligibility requirements, including participating in GA/FSET. Not complying with the program requirements can result in sanctions.
- Individuals who are considered unemployable will be exempt from the time limit. The time limit will apply if an individual's exempt status ends. Any exempt months will not count towards the time limit months.
- Current recipients will begin their payment period beginning Jan. 1, 2008. The earliest date their payment period will end will be June 30, 2008.

**Example:**

Mr. Smith was determined to be an employable recipient in Jan. 2008. He received aid for the next six months; his aid ended in June 2008 due to the time limit. His eligibility for a new eligibility period would not begin again until Jan. 1, 2009.

If you have any questions regarding this change, call your worker.

**Subject:** RE: Position ID: DP

**Date:** Mon, 9 Feb 2004 09:47:49 -0800

**From:** "Quandt, Melanie" <MelanieQuandt@spherion.com> [Add to Address Book](#)

**To:** "Eugene Terrell" <eugeneterrell@yahoo.com>

Hi Eugene ~

I am so sorry but it may be hard for me to place you seeing that you were not working last year. I will keep your resume on file for the future.

**Melanie S. Quandt**  
Senior Technical Recruiter  
Spherion (Technical Services Group)

Direct Line: 415.7337557

Cell Phone: 650.520.4176

Fax: 415.733.7501

[www.spherion.com](http://www.spherion.com)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Eugene Terrell [mailto:[eugeneterrell@yahoo.com](mailto:eugeneterrell@yahoo.com)]

**Sent:** Monday, February 09, 2004 9:07 AM

**To:** Quandt, Melanie

**Subject:** Position ID: DP

Melanie Quandt  
Spherion  
475 Sansome Street  
Suite 800  
San Francisco, CA 94111

I am very interested in applying for Client Technical Support Engineer position, and I have attached a copy of my resume.

Eugene Terrell